19 DEC

06C 78-8405 12-20-78

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

VIA:

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence Deputy Director for Operations

Chief, International Activities Division

FROM: 25X1

Chief, IAD/Covert Action Staff

SUBJECT:

Response to 18 August Letter from

Senators Bayh and Goldwater

- Action Requested: It is requested that you sign the attached identical letters to Senators Bayh and Goldwater.
- Background: Your endorsement of the revised text of the letter to Representative Boland et al regarding Covert Action review and approval procedures (Ref A) has provided guidance for the redrafting of the response to the Bayh/ Goldwater letter (Ref B) on the same subject. This response combines portions of the text of the originally proposed reply (but without any critical passages regarding Congressional hindrances on Covert Action) and the key paragraphs of the letter to Representative Boland.
- You will recall that the Bayh/Goldwater letter was sent to Dr. Brzezinski and Secretary Vance as well as to yourself. Dr. Brzezinski replied briefly and noncommittally. It was our intention to let State send the first substantive reply; with ours to follow and conform thereto. However, State's lawyers are still wrestling with their draft, and we believe we should delay no longer in sending our response. If you approve this text, we will send State a copy, as well as a copy of the letter to Representative Boland

Approved For Release 2005/04/22: CIA-RDP81M00980R002700120001-9

as a copy of	CITC TOC	001 00 100	p1 05 011 0		00141144		
All portions	of this	document	are SE	CRET.		7	25X1
Attachment:							25X1
A/S							25X1
							10 M

	*	R	OUTING	S AND	RECOR	SHEET	1.00.1.0				
SUBJECT:	(Optional)	Communication () - page 18 annual () Communication () Communica									
ROM:		And the second s			EXTENSION	NO.					
	D/CAC					DATE		and section		and wheeler or	
	D/CAS		P. A	TE .	a) to response disserve year the management of	The second secon	- Agrama	and manager of the control		- 4	
O: (Office uilding)	er designation, room	nymber, and	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number to whom. Draw a lin	e across	omment column	ta sion	2C7 CC mi	meni
1. OLC					The second secon						
Break to	OPPORTS OF		estaja, regi Servicios								
i in in the			ē.	(\$		•		=			
3.4											
4.			1000								
5.		1	4 (1 mg)								
6.											
				10 mm				, i di			Ŧ
7.				a de la constantina del constantina de la constantina del constantina de la constant	To the second			w t			
8.		1.25%) 1 2 5 0分数) 1 250分数)	na tarke Time grafite ar etc					**** ****	•		
9.							· •.				
		Same and the		1 .			3	t en en			
1.					The second secon						
12.											
3.					F 1 F 1						
14.			-								

COMPIDENTIAL

INTERNAL Y

D BMLASSFIRE

25X1

610 USE PREVIOUS

SECRET

FORM 3-62

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002700120001-9

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MEMORANDOM FOR.	Difector of C	entral inte	lligence
	VIA:	Deputy Directo	or for Oner	al Intelligence ations ivities Division
25X1	FROM:	Chief, IAD/Cov		ing and the second seco
	SUBJECT:	Response to 18 Senators Bayh	B August Let	ter from
	CONCUR:		· :	
25X1	A Deputy Director	for Operations		20 DEC 1978
		·		
25X1	DDO/DC/IAD/CAS		3D00	18 Dec 78
	1 - E/R 1 - OLC 1 - OGC 1 - DDO 1 - ADD	I w/att w/att w/att w/att w/att w/att	1 - C/IA 2 - CAS/	D/CÁS PP-File
	•		•	

Washington, D.C. 20505

Honorable Birch Bayh, Chairman Select Committee on Intelligence United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I much appreciate your thoughtful letter of August 18 regarding the 7 June 1978 Presidential Finding on Covert Action. I agree with your perception that the procedures we are developing for oversight of covert action will lead to a system "characterized by rational decision and proper policy control." I do not believe we could have achieved this progress without the diligence and goodwill of your Committee and its staff.

I share your concern that sensitive activities be approved at appropriate levels of the Executive Branch, so that those who have to bear the consequences of a possible "flap" are permitted to evaluate possible risks in advance. On the other hand, I think some of your proposals tend toward escalating the evaluation of routine covert action activities to an unnecessarily high level. Generally speaking, we consider

25X₁1

to be low-risk activities. Therefore, our procedures for validating such activities do not involve elaborate external review.

I believe that one of the principal reasons we have had a degree of difficulty in reaching a full, common understanding on such matters is the complicated nature of covert action review and approval procedures. These procedures have accumulated over the last few years in response to widespread concern that CIA's covert action programs were not subject to sufficient scrutiny both within the Executive Branch and by Congress. I am confident that the system we have now is fully adequate to ensure proper control and review. At the same time, I believe there is one small, but very significant, change that we can make which will help to clarify the situation. Henceforth, we will categorize findings as either "specific" or "general."

25X1

Looking at the Presidential Finding items
A, B, C, D and E and the paragraphs under F which pertain to

considered "specific"; other subheadings would be considered "general." The purpose of making this distinction is to clarify what procedures ensue to check on the proper implementation of a finding once approved by the President.

- a. In the case of specific findings, what is to be accomplished, and where and when, is clear in the language used by the President; further instruction or clearance within the Executive Branch should not be required. Clearly, responding under the Hughes-Ryan Amendment to the appropriate committees of Congress is required. Overall, this procedure involves the President's making a specific determination, the CIA's reporting to the Congress and carrying out the Presidential Finding.
- b. In the case of general findings what is to be accomplished is specified, but exactly where, when and how is usually left for later determination. The objective is to anticipate requirements for covert action so as to be quickly responsive in changing circumstances. Usually these findings involve the Covert Action infrastructure or existing liaison relationships. They are generally low risk activities from an operational standpoint.

What we do in the case of each general finding is to obtain clearance from the State Department and upon occasion from other concerned departments of the Executive Branch prior to translating the general finding into specific action. In signing a general finding, the President is giving broad policy and conceptual authorization to a covert action program. At the same time, he entrusts the Department of State with ensuring that the detailed execution of the program remains in conformity with U.S. foreign policy. In addition, he delegates to CIA the responsibility for assessing and appropriately limiting the operational risk of activities to be undertaken, and to the State Department the responsibility for assessing such policy factors as the consequences of exposure of the program.

25X

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002700120001-9

Your letter questions the use of general findings on a "contingency basis, leaving operational considerations to the future."

25X1 25X1

President without formal consideration of risks and adverse consequences. I am not certain what you mean by the word formal, but certainly the SCC and the President do consider risks and adverse consequences of projects they approve. The broad political and national security risks can be judged without reference to specific operational details.

With respect to Congressional involvement in this process, your Committee and the appropriate additional committees are notified of both general and specific findings in a timely manner. As far as the general findings are concerned, we also make available to you "Perspectives." These papers, which are developed by CIA and coordinated in by the Department of State, provide tactical guidance to our field stations to implement the general findings.

Also, as you point out, we have the Memorandum of Notification procedure for operations carried out under general findings which we may feel involve more than ordinary operational risk or political sensitivity. You express some concern that CIA itself should be the judge of what activities ought to require this additional outside review. It seems to me that this is a perfectly proper role for the Director of Central Intelligence. The nomination and confirmation process is designed specifically to determine whether the Director of Central Intelligence and his Deputy are equipped to make judgments on what ought to be called to the attention of higher executive authority and to the appropriate Congressional committees. Such trust in the capability and integrity of responsible executive officials is essential if the mechanisms of government are to function efficiently, responsively and responsibly.

In addition, as you are aware, I have tightened Agency review procedures for covert action. Propaganda activities are reviewed by staff components as well as by the area division responsible for running them. A thorough discussion takes place regarding the risks and potential gains. In my judgment this review procedure, coupled with SCC approval and implementation pursuant to State Department Perspectives, provides an adequate system of checks and balances.

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002700120001-9

You express a specific concern that paragraph F.a. of the Finding may confer Presidential approval on a wide variety of activities unrelated to international terrorism or narcotics control. This is not our interpretation, and, as the Deputy Director for Operations advised Senator Huddleston at your Committee's hearing it was never our intention to use the language of this Finding to provide assistance for purposes other than counterterrorism or counternarcotics.

With regard to your comment on the recruitment efforts that can take place under the covert action infrastructure finding, let me assure you that this process is reviewed by responsible Agency officials. In addition to the station chief's judgment, recruitment activities are also reviewed by senior officials at the Headquarters level who call them to my attention when significant risks are involved. I consider it my responsibility to consult with my superiors and colleagues if the risks are great enough to warrant their attention.

I appreciate the constructive nature of your comments and fully support your efforts to help produce a system characterized by a rational decision and proper policy control of sensitive activities.

All portions of this document are SECRET.

Yours sincerely,

STANSFIELD TURNER

cc Honorable Birch Bayh

. 4 -

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt